IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE
WRIT PETITION NO.3738 OF 2004

Shri Ramdas Mahadeo Jadhav ... Petitioner
vs
Shri Ravindra Dattatraya Pendharkar .Respondent
..
Mr.P.S.Dani for Petitioner
Mr.Prakash Rane for Respondent
CORAM : D.G.KARNIK, J
DATE: 28TH JULY, 2004.

P.C.

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The trial Court as well as the appellate Court have concurrently held that the petitioner-tenant has acquired suitable residential premises elsewhere and therefore passed a decree under section 13 (1) (l) of the Bombay Rents, Hotel and Lodging House Rates Act, 1947. No perversity in the finding of the Court below is shown. In the circumstances, Writ Petition is dismissed. However, at the request of the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is granted six months time to vacate the premises subject to his filing usual undertaking on usual terms within two weeks. In the event the undertaking is not filed, decree shall become executable forthwith.

3. Authenticated copy allowed.

D.G.KARNIK, J

.PA